#### Lecture 12: e-expansion and Wilson-Fisher fixed point

Naoki KAWASHIMA

ISSP, U. Tokyo

July 7, 2025

July 7, 2025 1 / 23

In this lecture, we see ...

- By applying the perturbative RG to GFP, we will find a new fixed point near the GFP. (Wilson-Fisher fixed point (WFFP))
- By replacing the GFP and the WFFP by their multi-component counterparts, we can obtain the ε-expansion of the universality classes of the XY model (n = 2) and of the Heisenberg model (n = 3).

### Wilson-Fisher fixed point

- From this result one can obtain the lowest order approximation to the Wilson-Fisher fixed point, the fixed point that governs the Ising universality class in dimensions 2 < d < 4.

Statistical Mechanics I: Lecture 12

July 7, 2025 3 / 23

### RG flow equation around GFP

- Now, we are ready to actually compute the RG flow around the GFP searching for a new fixed point for the  $\phi^4$  model.
- Our tool is the RG flow equation around a fixed point.

$$\frac{dg_n}{d\lambda} = y_n g_n - \sum_{lm} c_{lm}^n g_l g_m + O(g^3) \quad (\lambda \equiv \log b)$$
(1)

• For the GFP, we already know

$$\phi_n \equiv \llbracket \phi^n \rrbracket, \quad y_n = d - x_n, \quad x_n = nx = \frac{n}{2}(d - 2)$$
$$c_{lm}^n \equiv \binom{l}{k} \binom{m}{k} k! \quad \left(k \equiv \frac{l + m - n}{2}\right) \tag{2}$$

#### The $Z_2$ symmetry

• Let us focus on the relevant fields at the GFP:

 $h \equiv g_1, \quad t \equiv g_2, \quad v \equiv g_3, \quad u \equiv g_4$ 

- Note that (1) and (2) ensures that when we start with even fields only, odd fields are not generated by the RGT.
- In addition, we know that the critical point of the Ising model possesses the symmetry with respect to  $S \leftrightarrow -S$ .
- Therefore, we expect that the fixed point representing the Ising criticality should be found in the "even parity" manifold, i.e., g<sub>2n+1</sub> = 0 (h = v = 0).



#### $\epsilon$ -expansion

• In terms of the remaining fields, t and u, the flow equations are

$$\frac{dt}{d\lambda} = y_t t - c_{tt}^t t^2 - 2c_{tu}^t t u - c_{uu}^t u^2 + O(g^3)$$
(3)

$$\frac{du}{d\lambda} = y_u u - c_{tt}^u t^2 - 2c_{tu}^u tu - c_{uu}^u u^2 + O(g^3)$$
(4)

with  $y_t = 2$  and  $y_u = 4 - d \equiv \epsilon$ .

- Hereafter, we regard  $\epsilon$  as a small quantity.
- Let  $(t^*, u^*)$  be the non-trivial solution to the fixed-point equation, i.e., they are not zero and make the RHSs of (3) and (4) zero.

By considering the order in ε, we see t\* = O(ε<sup>2</sup>) and u\* = O(ε).
 (∵ By perturbation assuption, both u\* and t\* are small. Then, in (3), the only term that can possibely be the same order as t is u<sup>2</sup>. Therefore, t\* ~ u\*<sup>2</sup>. With this in mind, inspecting (4) we see that εu must be comparable to u<sup>2</sup>, so u\* ~ O(ε).)

5 / 23

### General prescription with RG flow equation

Generally, the RG flow equation such as (3) and (4) takes the form  $dg/d\lambda = f(g)$  where  $f(\cdot)$  is a function that maps p dimensional vector to another p dimensional vector. Once we obtain such a set of RG flow equations, we obtain the fixed point and its scaling properties as follows.

- Find the solution to  $f(g^*) = 0$ . Then,  $\mathcal{H}_{g^*}$  is the fixed point Hamiltonian.
- 2 Linearize the RG flow quation around  $g^*$ . Specifically,  $d\Delta g/d\lambda = Y\Delta g$ , where  $\Delta g \equiv g - g^*$  and  $Y_{\mu\nu} \equiv df_{\mu}/dg_{\nu}|_{g=g^*}$  is the gradient matrix.
- 3 Diagonalize Y as  $Y = P^{-1}\hat{Y}P$  with  $\hat{Y}$  being a diagonal matrix whose  $\mu$ th diagonal element is  $y_{\mu}$ .
- Then, for the new set of parameters defined as  $\boldsymbol{u} \equiv P\Delta \boldsymbol{g}$ , we have  $du_{\mu}/d\lambda = y_{\mu}u_{\mu}$ , which means that  $u_{\mu}$  is the new scaling field and  $y_{\mu}$  is the corresponding scaling eigenvalue. (Accordingly, the new scaling operators can be defined as  $\boldsymbol{\psi} \equiv (P^{\mathsf{T}})^{-1}\boldsymbol{\phi}$ .)

## Wilson-Fisher fixed point

• Now, keeping only the terms that can make difference in scaling dimensions in the  ${\cal O}(\epsilon)$  order, we obtain

$$\frac{dt}{d\lambda} = 2t - 96u^2 - 24tu \quad (\equiv A) \tag{5}$$

$$\frac{du}{d\lambda} = \epsilon u - 72u^2 - 16tu \quad (\equiv B)$$
(6)

$$\left(c_{uu}^{t} = \binom{4}{3}\binom{4}{3}3! = 96, \quad c_{uu}^{u} = \binom{4}{2}\binom{4}{2}2! = 72, \quad \text{etc.}\right)$$

• Then, the fixed point is

$$(t^*, u^*) = \left(\frac{\epsilon^2}{108}, \frac{\epsilon}{72}\right) \tag{7}$$

• We regard this as the lowest order approximation to the Wilson-Fisher fixed point (WFFP).

### Linearization around the WFFP

• Following the general prescription, let us define  $\Delta u \equiv u - u^*, \Delta t \equiv t - t^*$  and recast (5) and (6) in the form

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta t \\ \Delta u \end{pmatrix} = Y \begin{pmatrix} \Delta t \\ \Delta u \end{pmatrix}.$$

• The matrix Y can be obtained as

$$Y \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial A}{\partial u} \\ \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial B}{\partial u} \end{pmatrix}_{\Delta t = \Delta u = 0} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 - 24u^* & -192u^* \\ -16u^* & \epsilon - 144u^* \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} 2 - \frac{1}{3}\epsilon & -\frac{8}{3}\epsilon \\ -\frac{2}{9}\epsilon & -\epsilon \end{pmatrix}.$$

Statistical	Mechanics	Lecture	12

July 7, 2025 9 / 23

### Scaling properties of the WFFP

• Thus, the linearized RG flow equation around the new fixed point is

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta t \\ \Delta u \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 - \frac{1}{3}\epsilon & -\frac{8}{3}\epsilon \\ -\frac{2}{9}\epsilon & -\epsilon \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta t \\ \Delta u \end{pmatrix}.$$

 Since the off-diagonal elements do not contribute to the eigenvalues to O(ε),

$$y_u^{\mathsf{WF}} = -\epsilon$$
 and  $y_t^{\mathsf{WF}} = 2 - \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ 

• The "t-like" scaling field t' is relevant.

$$\begin{split} y_t^{\text{3DWF}} &\approx 1.666 \cdots \quad \left( y_t^{\text{3DIsing}} \approx 1.588(1)^* \right), \\ y_t^{\text{2DWF}} &\approx 1.333 \cdots \quad \left( y_t^{\text{2DIsing}} = 1 \right) \end{split}$$

\* M. Hasenbusch, K. Pinn, and S. Vinti: PRB 59, 11471 (1999)



### Scaling eigenvalue of h at WFFP

• The RG flow equation for h, which has been neglected so far, is

$$\frac{dh}{d\lambda} = y_h h - 2c_{th}^h th + (u^2 h \text{-term}) = \frac{d+2}{2}h - 4th + \cdots$$
$$\approx \left(\frac{d+2}{2} - 4t^* + \cdots\right)h$$

• Therefore,  $y_h^{\rm WF} = {d+2\over 2} + O(\epsilon^2)$ . Specifically,  $y_h^{\rm 3D\ WF} \approx 2.5$  and  $y_h^{\rm 2D\ WF} \approx 2.0$ .

The good agreements with

$$y^{\text{3D Ising}} = 2.4817(4)^*$$
 and  $y^{\text{2D Ising}} = 1.875$  (exact).

indicate the validity of the  $\epsilon$ -expansion as well as the equivalence between the WFFPs and the Ising FPs.

\* M. Hasenbusch, K. Pinn, and S. Vinti: PRB 59, 11471 (1999)

|--|

### Irrelevancy of other operators

- Even if a field is irrelevant at the GFP, it may turn relevant at the WFFP. In such a case, the WFFP may not be the controlling FP. So, it is important to check whether there is no such fields.
- The RG flow equation for  $g_n$  around the GFP is

$$\frac{dg_n}{d\lambda} = \left(d - \frac{n}{2}(d-2)\right)g_n - 12n(n-1)ug_n,$$

• Remembering that  $u^* = \epsilon/72$ ,

$$y_n^{\text{WF}} = \left(d - \frac{n}{2}(d-2)\right) - 12n(n-1)\frac{4-d}{72}$$

• For  $n \ge 6$ ,  $y_n^{\sf WF}$  are negative:

$$y_n^{\text{3DWF}} = \frac{18 - 2n - n^2}{6}, \ y_n^{\text{2DWF}} = \frac{6 + n - n^2}{3}$$



# O(n) models

- To apply the perturbative RG to the XY (O(2)) and the Heisenberg (O(3)) models we will introduce the multi-component \u03c6<sup>4</sup> model.
- We can then construct the RG flow equation as before.

Statistical Mechanics I: Lecture 12

July 7, 2025 13 / 23

# Multi-component $\phi^4$ model

- Let us apply the perturbative RG to the XY (O(2)) or the Heisenberg (O(3)) models.
- To follow the same line of argument as before, we need something analogous to the  $\phi^4$  model to start with.
- So, let us consider multi-component field

$$oldsymbol{\phi}(oldsymbol{x})\equiv \left(\phi_1(oldsymbol{x}),\phi_2(oldsymbol{x}),\cdots,\phi_n(oldsymbol{x})
ight)^{\mathsf{T}}$$

and the multi-component  $\phi^4$  model:

$$\mathcal{H} \equiv \int d\boldsymbol{x} \left( |\nabla \boldsymbol{\phi}|^2 + t \boldsymbol{\phi}^2 + u(\boldsymbol{\phi}^2)^2 - h \phi^1 \right)$$

• If t = u = h = 0, the *n*-components are independent and each represents a Gaussian fixed point. Therefore, it is a fixed point for the new Hamiltonian. (We call this fixed point the GFP, too.)

### Correlation functions

- To get familiarized with the new model, let us consider  $\langle \pmb{\phi}^2(\pmb{x}) \pmb{\phi}^2(\pmb{y}) \rangle_{\rm GFP}$  .
- Since we can use Wick's theorem for the multi-component GFP,

$$\begin{split} \langle \boldsymbol{\phi}^{2}(\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{\phi}^{2}(\boldsymbol{y}) \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{x})\phi_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{x})\phi_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{y})\phi_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{y}) \rangle \quad \text{(Einstein's convention)} \\ &= \langle \phi_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{x})\phi_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{x}) \rangle \langle \phi_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{y})\phi_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{y}) \rangle \\ &+ 2 \langle \phi_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{x})\phi_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{y}) \rangle \langle \phi_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{x})\phi_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{y}) \rangle \\ &= n^{2}G^{2}(0) + 2nG^{2}(r) \end{split}$$

where  $r \equiv |\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}|$  and  $G(r) \equiv \langle \phi_1(\boldsymbol{x})\phi_1(\boldsymbol{y}) \rangle \approx r^{-2x}$  with x = (d-2)/2 as usual.



#### Diagrammatic representation

• We have seen that

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\phi}^2(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{\phi}^2(\boldsymbol{y}) \rangle = n^2 G^2(0) + 2n G^2(r)$$

- Compared with the previous case of n = 1, the difference is the factors  $n^2$  and n.
- For a given pattern of Wick paring, draw the diagram like the one in the right with the correspondence:

wavy lines  $\leftrightarrow$   $\begin{pmatrix} \text{repeated indices in} \\ \text{Einstein convention} \end{pmatrix} = n^2 G_r^2(\omega) + 2n G_r^2(r)$ regular lines  $\leftrightarrow$  (Wick paring)

• To a diagram with g disconnected components, we assign the factor  $n^g$ .

$$\langle \phi^{\alpha}(x) \phi^{\alpha}(x) \phi^{\beta}(y) \phi^{\beta}(y) \rangle$$

$$= \left( \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ x \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} & n^{2} G^{2}(o) \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ x \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} & n G^{2}(r) \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ x \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} & n G^{2}(r) \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ x \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} & n G^{2}(r) \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ x \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} & n G^{2}(r) \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ x \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} & n G^{2}(r) \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ x \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} & n G^{2}(r) \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ x \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} & n G^{2}(r) \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ x \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} & n G^{2}(r) \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ x \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} & n G^{2}(r) \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ x \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} & n G^{2}(r) \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} & n G^{2}(r) \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} & n G^{2}(r) \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} & n G^{2}(r) \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} z \end{array}}_{\chi} \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} z \end{array}}_{\chi} \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ y \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} z \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} z \end{array}}_{\chi} \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} z \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} z \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} z \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\end{array}}_{\chi} \\ + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} z \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} z \end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{\end{array}}_{\chi} \underbrace{$$

15 / 23

# $\varphi_t \equiv \left[\!\!\left[\boldsymbol{\phi}^2\right]\!\!\right] = \boldsymbol{\phi}^2 - nG^2(0)$

obtain after removing all contributions from

 $\bullet\,$  For the correlator of two  $\phi_2 {\rm s},$  we have

Scaling operator  $\varphi_t$  (previously  $\varphi_2$ )

 As before, we can define the normal-order product, [[···]], as the operator that we

the diagrams with inner connections.

• For example,

$$\langle \varphi_t(\boldsymbol{x})\varphi_t(\boldsymbol{y})\rangle = 2nG^2(r)$$

(See the diagram on the right.)

$$\begin{cases} \hat{s} \\ \hat{s}$$

$$\langle \varphi_t(\mathbf{x}) \varphi_t(\mathbf{y}) \rangle$$

$$= (\mathbf{x}) + (\mathbf{x}) \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$$

$$= 2nG^{2}(r)$$

Statistical Mechanics I: Lecture 12	July 7, 2025	17 / 23

# Scaling operator $\varphi_u$ (previously $\varphi_4$ )

• Similarly, we define  $\phi_4$  as

$$arphi_u(oldsymbol{x})\equiv\left[\!\left(oldsymbol{\phi}^2(oldsymbol{x})
ight)^2
ight]$$

• Then, the correlator becomes

 $\langle \varphi_{u}(x) \varphi_{u}(y) \rangle$ 

# $c_{tt}^{u}, c_{tt}^{t}, c_{tu}^{u}, c_{tu}^{t}$ for O(n) GFP

• First, let us expand  $\varphi_t(\boldsymbol{x})\varphi_t(\boldsymbol{y})$ .

$$\varphi_t(\boldsymbol{x})\varphi_t(\boldsymbol{y})$$
  
 $\approx \varphi_u(\boldsymbol{x}) + 4G(r)\varphi_t(\boldsymbol{x}) + \cdots$ 

Thus, we obtain  $c_{tt}^u=1$  and  $c_{tt}^t=4$ . • For  $\varphi_t({\pmb x})\varphi_u({\pmb y})$ , we obtain

$$\varphi_t(\boldsymbol{x})\varphi_u(\boldsymbol{y})$$

$$= \varphi_6(\boldsymbol{x}) + 8G(r)\varphi_u(\boldsymbol{x})$$

$$+ 4nG^2(r)\varphi_t(\boldsymbol{x}) + 8G^2(r)\varphi_t(\boldsymbol{x})$$

$$= \varphi_6 + 8G\varphi_u + (4n+8)G^2\varphi_t + \cdots$$



$$\begin{array}{c}
 \mathcal{G}_{\pm}(x) \cdot \mathcal{G}_{\mu}(y) \\
 = & \begin{array}{c}
 \mathcal{G}_{\pm}(x) \cdot \mathcal{G}_{\mu}(y) \\
 = & \begin{array}{c}
 \mathcal{G}_{\pm}(x) \cdot \mathcal{G}_{\mu}(y) \\
 = & \begin{array}{c}
 \mathcal{G}_{\pm}(x) \cdot \mathcal{G}_{\mu}(y) \\
 + & \begin{array}{c}
 \mathcal{G}_{\pm}(x) \cdot \mathcal{G}_{\mu}(y) \\
 \mathcal{G}_{\pm}(x) \cdot \mathcal{G}_{\mu}(y) \\
 + & \begin{array}{c}
 \mathcal{G}_{\pm}(x) \cdot \mathcal{G}_{\mu}(y) \\
 \mathcal{G}$$

We obtain  $c^u_{tu} = 8$  and  $c^t_{tu} = 4(n+2)$  .

Statistical Mechanics I: Lecture 12	July 7, 2025	19 / 23

## Wilson-Fisher FP for O(n) GFP

• The RG flow equation is

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dt}{d\lambda} = 2t - 32(n+2)u^2 - 8(n+2)tu \equiv A\\ \frac{du}{d\lambda} = \epsilon u - 8(n+8)u^2 - 16tu \equiv B \end{cases}$$
$$\Rightarrow (t^*, u^*) = \left(\frac{\epsilon^2}{4(n+8)^2}, \frac{\epsilon}{8(n+8)}\right)$$

• The flow equation for t around WFFP is

$$\frac{dt}{d\lambda} = (2 - 8(n+2)u^*)t \quad \Rightarrow \quad y_t^{\mathsf{WF}} = 2 - \frac{n+2}{n+8}\epsilon$$

• For *h*, we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{dh}{d\lambda} &= (y_h^{\mathsf{G}} + O(\epsilon^2))h = \frac{d+2}{2}h \\ \Rightarrow \quad y_h^{\mathsf{WF}} &= \frac{d+2}{2} = 3 - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \end{split}$$

#### $\epsilon$ -expansion summary

		lsing		XY		Heisenberg	
	(n = 1)		= 1)	(n = 2)		(n = 3)	
		$\epsilon$ -exp.	true	$\epsilon$ -exp.	true	$\epsilon$ -exp.	true
4D	$y_t$	2	2	2	2	2	2
	$y_h$	3	3	3	3	3	3
3D	$y_t$	1.67	1.59	1.60	1.49	1.55	1.41
	$y_h$	2.5	2.48	2.5	2.48	2.5	2.49
2D	$y_t$	1.33	1	1.20		1.09	
	$y_h$	2.0	1.875	2.0		2.0	

Statistical Mechanics I: Lecture 12

July 7, 2025 21 / 23

## Summary

- By applying the perturbative RG to GFP, we have found a new fixed point near the GFP. (Wilson-Fisher fixed point (WFFP))
- We can apply the same perturbative argument to the n-component field φ, resulting in the ε-expansion of the universality classes of the XY model (n = 2) and of the Heisenberg model (n = 3). In 3D, the estimates of scaling dimensions were surprisingly good, whereas even in 2D, they are not so far from the correct values.

**Exercise 12.1:** Obtain the OPE of  $\varphi_u(\boldsymbol{x})\varphi_u(\boldsymbol{y})$  at the GFP, and show

$$c_{uu}^u = 8(n+8)$$
 and  $c_{uu}^t = 32(n+2)$ .

Statistical Mechanics I: Lecture 12

July 7, 2025 23 / 23