Lecture 1: Introduction

Naoki KAWASHIMA

ISSP, U. Tokyo

April 7, 2025

Statistical Mechanics I: Lecture 1

April 7, 2025 1 / 21

In this lecture, we see ...

- Historically, the statistical mechanics was developed by Boltzmann to explain macroscopic phenomena from the 1st principle, i.e., Newton's law, Schrödinger equation, etc.
- However, many cooperative phenomena seem to have good explanation **without** referring to the 1st principles.
- The essential macroscopic properties can be understood by models in terms of intermediate-scale degrees of freedom.
- Often the same model can describe the essence of multiple phenomena with completely different microscopic origins.
- These observations are reflecting the **universality of many-body systems**.
- In particular, the universality holds exactly in the critical phenomena. (universality of critical phenomena)

Very brief review of conventional statistical mechanics

- Equi-probability principle: P(S) is constant independent of S.
- Micro-canonical ensemble: $P(S|E) = \delta_{E,E(S)}/W(E)$
- Equilibrium with heat-bath: (A: the system, B: the heat-bath)

$$P_{AB}((S_A, S_B)|E) = \delta_{E, E_A(S_A) + E_B(S_B)}(W_{AB}(E))^{-1}$$
$$P_A(S_A) = \sum_{S_B} \frac{\delta_{E, E_A + E_B}}{W_{AB}(E)} = \frac{W_B(E - E_A(S_A))}{W_{AB}(E)}$$

• Entropy and temperature:

$$S(E) = \log W(E)$$
 (extensive), $\beta \equiv 1/T \equiv \frac{\partial S}{\partial E}$ (intensive)

• Canonical ensemble:

$$P_A(S_A) \propto e^{-\beta_B E_A(S_A)}$$

Statistical Mechanics I: Lecture 1

April 7, 2025 3 / 21

Phenomena described by or related to Ising model

- Ferromagnetism ... exchange coupling
- Ferroelectrics ... optical phonon
- Binary alloys ... change in band structure
- Gases ... van der Waals force
- Voters' decision making model ... human psychology
- Percolation ... trees catching fire
- Potts model ... generalization to higher symmetry

Ferromagnets

For a ferromagnetic insurator, the magnetic contribution to the total energy can be (at least approximately) written as

$$\mathcal{H} = -\sum_{ij} \sum_{\alpha,\beta=x,y,z} J_{\alpha\beta} S_i^{\alpha} S_j^{\beta} - D \sum_i (S_i^z)^2 - H \sum_i S_i^z$$
(1)

where S_i^{α} is a generator of SU(2) algebra in some irreducible representation characterized by the magnitude of spin $S = 1/2, 1, 3/2, \cdots$. The coupling constant $J_{\alpha\beta} = J\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ for isotoropic coupling. For some magnets, the anisotropy is easy-axis type and D is positive, in which case, only two states, $S_i^z = \pm S$, are important. As a result of these, in some cases one may consider the Ising model

$$\mathcal{H}_I = -J \sum_{(ij)} S_i S_j - H \sum_i S_i \tag{2}$$

represents the ferromagnet at least qualitatively.

Gases — Real gas

Real gas is described by Schrödinger equation,

$$\mathcal{H}\Psi(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2, \cdots, \boldsymbol{x}_N) = E\Psi(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2, \cdots, \boldsymbol{x}_N). \tag{3}$$

The Hamiltonian consists of the kinetic energy and the two-body Coulomb interactions among nuclei and electrons.

$$\mathcal{H} \equiv \sum_{i} \frac{\boldsymbol{p}_{i}^{2}}{2m_{i}} + \sum_{(ij)} V(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \boldsymbol{x}_{j}).$$
(4)

Gases — Lenard-Jones model

- In some circumstances, we can neglect quantum nature of atoms and treat them as classical particle with no internal degree of freedom (e.g., gas-liquid transition at room temperature).
- In such cases, we consider a classical model, such as Lenard-Jones (LJ) model

$$V_{\rm LJ}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}') = 4\epsilon \left(\left(\frac{\sigma}{r}\right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma}{r}\right)^6 \right)$$
(5)

where $r \equiv |\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'|$.

Statistical Mechanics I: Lecture 1

April 7, 2025 7 / 21

Gases — Lattice gas

- We may simplify the system even further when we focus on the nature of phase transitions.
- For example, by discretizing the space and neglecting the long-range tail of the Lenard-Jones potential, we obtain the lattice gas model

$$\mathcal{H} = -\epsilon \sum_{ij} n_i n_j - \mu \sum_i n_i \tag{6}$$

where $n_i = 0, 1$ represents absense/presense of a particle at the site *i*. (One can easily verify that this is mathematically equivalent to the lsing model with a uniform magnetic field.)

Voters' decision making model

- We consider a group of voters behaving in the following way:
 - Everyone is wondering whether he should vote for Biden or Trump.
 - Everyone is showing his current preference by wearing a blue cap (for Biden) or a red one (for Trump).
 - Solution At each time t, everyone is looking around himself, and observe the current average preference m(t) ($-1 \le m \le 1$, m = 1 for perfect preference for Biden and m = -1 for Trump).
 - Observing m(t) influences him in deciding his next preference: the color of his cap next time is blue with probability $(1 + \tanh(\beta m(t)))/2$ where β is a constant representing vorters' sensitivity to others' opinions.
- Then, we can show that m(t) obeys the following equation of motion:

$$m(t+1) = \tanh(\beta m(t)) \tag{7}$$

This is exactly identical to the equation of motion of the magnetization of the Ising model.

Universality

- The magnet, the gas, and the voters may behave according to the same model.
- This observation shows that completely different microscopic mechanisms may lead to an identical statistical mechanical model, and the microscopic mechanism influences the macroscopic properties only through a few parameters. This is a manifestation of the **universality**, one of the major subject of the present course.
- Moreover, when we focus on the critical phenomena, one can infer even the **exact** values of real systems from a very simplified model. For example, the value of the critical index β is estimated for the lattice-gas model to be $\beta \approx 0.3272$, and the experimental result can be fit well by assuming this estimate.
- This observation is an example of the **universality of critical phenomena**.

Percolation

- Statistical mechanics applies to phenomena whose microscopic elements are not really microscopic
- Phenomena with completely different microscopic origin can be described by the same (type of) model

Statistical	Mechanics	Lecture 1
Juanistical		

April 7, 2025 11 / 21

Forest fire and percolation

- In a forest fire, a tree catches fire from a burning tree in its neighborhood. An important question is whether there is a big cluster of trees in which they are close to each other.
- Suppose the forest is a square lattice and that a tree is planted with probability p on each lattice point.
- Let us call the two trees are "connected" when they are nearest neighbors to each other.
- How big is the largest cluster of connected trees? (site-percolation problem)
- In the **bond-percolation**, every lattice point has a tree, but they are connected only with probability *p*.
- The largest cluster size is an increasing function of *p*.
- The function has a singular point at p = 0.5. Above this point, the largest cluster is infinity and remains finite below this point. (percolation transition).

Bond percolation model

• The weight W(G) of a graph G is expressed formally as

$$W(G) = p^{|G|} (1-p)^{N_{\rm B} - |G|} = (\text{const.}) \times v^{|G|}$$
(8)

where |G| is the number of the connections in G, $N_{\rm B}$ is the total number of the nearest neighbor pairs of sites, and $v \equiv p/(1-p)$.

• Let us consider the average cluster size defined by

$$\overline{V_c} \equiv \left\langle \frac{\sum_c V_c^2}{\sum_c V_c} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{N} \left\langle \sum_c V_c^2 \right\rangle, \tag{9}$$

where V_c is the volume (the number of lattice points) of the connected cluster c in G.

• The angular bracket denotes the statistical average,

$$\langle Q(G) \rangle = \frac{\sum_{G} W(G)Q(G)}{\sum_{G} W(G)}$$
(10)

where the summation runs over all possible graphs.

Statistical Mechanics I: Lecture 1	April 7, 2025	13 / 21
		/

Relation among percolation, Ising and Potts models

- We have seen a few examples in which the statistical mechanics is applied beyond the tight connection to the microscopic mechanisms.
- In the first set of examples, various phenomena was described by the Ising model whereas in the latter the percolation model was essential.
- Now, it may be good to know that these apparently unrelated models can be also related to each other at least in a mathematical level.

q-state Potts model

• We first generalize the Ising model to the Potts model. The extension is made by replacing binary variables in the Ising model by *q*-valued ones.

$$\mathcal{H}_q(S) \equiv -J \sum_{(ij)} \delta_{S_i, S_j} - H \sum_i \delta_{S_i, 1}$$

where

$$S \equiv \{S_i\}, \text{ and } S_i = 1, 2, \cdots, q$$

• It is easy to verify that the q = 2 Potts model is identical to the Ising model after trivial redefinitions of J and H.



Introducing the bond variables G in q-Potts model

• By defining $K \equiv \beta J, h \equiv \beta H$, the partition function is

$$Z_q \equiv \sum_{S} e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}_q} = \sum_{S} \prod_{(ij)} e^{K \delta_{S_i, S_j}} \prod_{i} e^{h \delta_{S_i, 1}}$$
(11)

• By introducing a one-bit auxiliary variable $g_{ij} = 0, 1$ for every pair of nearest-neighbor sites:

$$e^{K\delta_{S_i,S_j}} = 1 + (e^K - 1)\delta_{S_i,S_j} \equiv \sum_{g_{ij}=0,1} v(g_{ij})\delta(g_{ij}|S_i,S_j)$$
(12)

where

$$v(0) = 1$$
, and $v(1) = e^{K} - 1$. (13)

$$\delta(g_{ij}|S_i, S_j) \equiv \delta_{g_{ij},0} + \delta_{g_{ij},1} \delta_{S_i,S_j}$$
(14)

April 7, 2025 16 / 21

Partition function as summation w.r.t. S and G

• The partition function is

$$Z_q = \sum_{S} \prod_{(ij)} \sum_{g_{ij}} v(g_{ij}) \delta(g_{ij}|S_i, S_j) e^{h\sum_i \delta_{\sigma_i, 1}}$$
(15)

• By using a simplifying notation $G \equiv \{g_{ij}\}$, and

$$V(G) \equiv \prod_{(ij)} v(g_{ij}), \text{ and } \Delta(G|S) \equiv \prod_{(ij)} \delta(g_{ij}|S_i, S_j)$$
(16)

we obtain

$$Z_q = \sum_{S} \sum_{G} V(G) \Delta(G|S) e^{h \sum_i \delta_{\sigma_i,1}}$$
(17)

$$= \sum_{G}^{S} V(G) \sum_{S} \Delta(G|S) e^{h \sum_{i} \delta_{\sigma_{i},1}}$$
(18)

April 7, 2025 17 / 21

Tracing out spin degree of freedom ${\cal S}$

- G is the set of local graph variables, i.e., $G \equiv \{g_{ij}\}$.
- $\Delta(G|S)=0,1$ represents "mismatching" or "matching" between G and S, respectively.
- For each cluster in G, let S_c be one of local variables S_i $(i \in c)$,

$$\sum_{S} \Delta(G|S) e^{h\sum_{i} \delta_{\sigma_{i},1}} = \sum_{\{S_{c}\}} e^{h\sum_{c} V_{c} \delta_{S_{c},1}} = \sum_{\{S_{c}\}} \prod_{c} w_{c}$$
$$w_{c} \equiv e^{hV_{c}} + (q-1), \text{ and } V_{c} \equiv \text{ "the volume of } c"$$
(19)

• Thus, we have arrived at the **Fortuin-Kasteleyn formula** of the partition functin of the Potts model,

$$Z_q = \sum_G W_q(G), \text{ where } W_q(G) \equiv v^{|G|} \prod_c w_c.$$
(20)

FK formula reveals lsing/percolation relation (1/2)

$$\begin{split} w_c &= e^{hV_c} + (q-1) \underset{h \to 0}{\to} q, \quad \frac{w'_c}{w_c} \underset{h \to 0}{\to} V_c/q, \quad \frac{w''_c}{w_c} \underset{h \to 0}{\to} (V_c/q)^2, \\ \frac{\partial F_q}{\partial h} &= -\frac{Z'_q}{Z_q} = -\frac{1}{Z_q} \sum W(G) \left(\sum_c \frac{w'_c}{w_c} \right) \underset{h \to 0}{\to} \left\langle \sum \frac{V_c}{q} \right\rangle = \frac{N}{q} \\ \frac{\partial^2 F_q}{\partial h^2} &= -\frac{Z''_q}{Z_q} + \left(\frac{Z'_q}{Z_q} \right)^2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{Z_q} \sum W(G) \left\{ \left(\sum_c \frac{w'_c}{w_c} \right)^2 + \sum_c \left(\frac{w''_c}{w_c} - \left(\frac{w'_c}{w_c} \right)^2 \right) \right\} + \left(\frac{Z'_q}{Z_q} \right)^2 \\ &= -\left\langle \left(\sum_c \frac{V_c}{q} \right)^2 \right\rangle - \left\langle \sum_c \left(\frac{V_c^2}{q} - \frac{V_c^2}{q^2} \right) \right\rangle + \left(\frac{N}{q} \right)^2 \\ &= -\frac{q-1}{q^2} \left\langle \sum_c V_c^2 \right\rangle = -N \frac{q-1}{q^2} \overline{V_c} \end{split}$$

Statistical	Mechanics	I: Lecture	1

April 7, 2025 19 / 21

FK formula reveals lsing/percolation relation (2/2)

In short, by defining the "susceptibility" χ_q as $\chi_q \equiv -\frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial^2 F_q}{\partial h^2}$, the average cluster size is

$$\overline{V}_c = \frac{q^2}{q-1}\,\chi_q.$$

In fact, χ_q is exactly the magnetic susceptibility for the Ising model when q = 2. Thus we have established

(susceptibility) \propto (average cluster size)

which implies that the average cluster size diverges at the critical point. In addition, notice that $\lim_{q\to 1} W_q(G) = v^{|G|}$ is just the weight of the bond percolation model. It means that the average cluster size of the percolation model can be derived from the same F_q in the limit of $q \to 1$. In this way, the percolation model is related to the Ising model through the FK formula of q-Potts model.

Exercise 1.1: Following the same type of argument leading to the Fortuin-Kasteleyn formula, show for the Ising model at H = 0 that the susceptibility

$$\chi \equiv \beta \left(\langle M^2 \rangle - \langle M \rangle^2 \right) \quad (M \equiv \sum_i S_i),$$

is proportional to the average size of the connected clusters.

Statistical Mechanics I: Lecture 1	April 7, 2025	21 / 21