

Condensed Matter Physics I

Naoki Kawashima

References

Charles Kittel : Quantum Theory of Solids
John Wiley, New York (1963)

J. M. Ziman : Principles of the Theory of Solids
Cambridge Univ. Press (1972)

斯波 弘行 : 固体の電子論 丸善 (1996)

Abrikosov Gorkov Dzyaloshinski : Methods of
Quantum Field Theory in Statistical Physics
Dover, New York (1975)

A. J. Leggett : Quantum Liquids (Oxford (2006))

高田 康民 : 超伝導 (朝倉)

加藤 岳生 : 物性物理学講義 (廿五社)

青木 秀夫 : 超伝導入門 (裳華房)

Lecture notes will be put somewhere
in our group web site

Kawashima.issp.u-tokyo.ac.jp

The grade will be based on a test at the
end of the lecture series (i.e. the 14th lecture,
most likely on July 13.).

[0] Many-Body Problems

- Human brains can't deal with many-body problems. It requires $O(e^N)$ resources in general. To be more precise, humans can only deal with $O(N^0)$ problems where N is the number of degrees of freedom involved.
- In all cases where it seems we solve many-body problems, we simply reduce the original problem into $O(N^0)$ problem in some way.

ex 1) Mean-field approximation $\dots (S_1 \dots S_N) \rightarrow \min_{\{S_i\}} \langle S_i \rangle$

ex 2) exact solutions \dots available only for measure-zero subset of the problem space.

Integral eq.

(Similar to the pseudo random numbers)

ex 3) Renormalization group \dots scaling dimensions precise only in the vicinity of the fixed points

ex 4) Fermi liquid theory \dots A special case of the RG where the thermal fluctuation can be renormalized with high precision (very lucky for condensed matter physicists.)

\rightarrow Band picture

Computers help to a certain extent in solving. However, without $O(1)$ description, we can't feel that we understand the issue. \rightarrow guaranteed to be possible.

In this lecture, we learn how we can reduce the many-body problem into $O(1)$ problem, which is not

[1] Second Quantization and Bosons

- Second quantization is not a physical operation.
- Second quantization is just another way of describing the same things.
- Conventional description, the coordinate description, $\bar{\Psi}(x_1 \dots x_N)$ is redundant when any two particles are essentially indistinguishable.
- SQ description removes this redundancy.
- Our first target is to describe the equation and the solution of the Schrödinger eq.

$$-\frac{d}{dt} \bar{\Psi}(x) = H \bar{\Psi}(x)$$

where H is an operator consisting of x and $\partial/\partial x$. $x = (x_1 \dots x_N)$.

Conventional (coordinate) description

$$\bar{\Psi}(x) \quad x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$$

x_i ... the "coordinate" of the i -th particle.
 (In general, it includes the inner degrees of freedom such as spins.)

Hilbert space of many identical particles

Any permutation does not change the physical state.

$$\Rightarrow P \bar{\Psi} = \chi_P \bar{\Psi} \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{In 2D, } P\bar{\Psi} \text{ is not uniquely} \\ \text{defined because the result of} \\ \text{permutation may depend on the path.} \end{array} \right)$$

$\bar{\Psi}$... the wave function of N identical particles

P ... an arbitrary permutation ($P \in S_N$)

$$P\bar{\Psi}(X) = \bar{\Psi}(PX)$$

$$PX = (x_{p(1)}, x_{p(2)}, \dots, x_{p(N)})$$

$$\chi_P \in \mathbb{C} \quad |\chi_P| = 1$$

the symmetric group

This means χ_P is a one-dim. rep. of S_N .

$$\therefore \chi_{Pa} \bar{\Psi} = (Pa) \cdot \bar{\Psi} = P(a \bar{\Psi}) = \chi_P \chi_a \bar{\Psi}$$

$$\rightarrow \chi_{Pa} = \chi_P \chi_a$$

There is only two 1-dim. reps. of S_N :

the identity ($\chi_P = 1$) and the parity ($\chi_P = (-1)^P$)

There are two kinds of particles:

$$\text{Bosons} \iff \chi_P = 1$$

$$\text{Fermions} \iff \chi_P = (-1)^P$$

* For a more complete argument, we need continuous deformations.

This leads us to consider the braid group. However, the braid group is non-trivial except in two dimensions. It means that the factor χ depends only on the permutation generated by the deformation, making the above argument valid. In 2D, there can be particles that are neither bosons or fermions.

Product state representation

- The space of N particles can be spanned by the product states $\{\bar{\Phi}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{X})\}$, i.e., an arbitrary N -particle wave function can be expressed as

$$\bar{\Psi}(\mathcal{X}) = \sum_{\mathcal{K}} a_{\mathcal{K}} \bar{\Phi}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{X})$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{X}) = \phi_{\mathcal{K}_1}(x_1) \phi_{\mathcal{K}_2}(x_2) \cdots \phi_{\mathcal{K}_N}(x_N)$$

$$\mathcal{K} \equiv (\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2, \dots, \mathcal{K}_N)$$

- $\{\phi_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{X})\}$... "one-particle state"
an arbitrary set of orthonormal functions.

$$\langle \phi_{\mathcal{K}'} | \phi_{\mathcal{K}} \rangle \equiv \int d\mathcal{X} \phi_{\mathcal{K}'}^*(\mathcal{X}) \phi_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{X}) = \delta_{\mathcal{K}'\mathcal{K}}$$

$$\sum_{\mathcal{K}} \phi_{\mathcal{K}}^*(\mathcal{X}') \phi_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{X}) = \delta(\mathcal{X}' - \mathcal{X})$$

⚠: Here, \mathcal{X} may be taken as the position vector and \mathcal{K} as the wave number. However, when the particles have some inner degrees of freedom, such as spins, we may regard \mathcal{X} as the combination of the position vector and such inner degrees of freedom. Correspondingly, \mathcal{K} may stand for (k, σ) .

Space of Bosonic States

- The space of the ^{many} bosonic wave functions can be spanned by the "symmetrized" product states.

$$\bar{\Phi}_{\mathcal{K}}^{(s)} \equiv C_{\mathcal{K}}^{-1} \sum_{P \in S_N} P \bar{\Phi}_{\mathcal{K}} \quad (\mathcal{K} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N))$$

- Here we consider the inner product in the bosonic wave functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{\Phi}_1 | \bar{\Phi}_2 \rangle &= \int_{x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_N} dx \bar{\Phi}_1^*(x) \bar{\Phi}_2(x) \quad \left(\equiv \int dx \bar{\Phi}_1^* \bar{\Phi}_2 \right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{(arbitrary order rule)}}{=} \frac{1}{N!} \int dx \bar{\Phi}_1^*(x) \bar{\Phi}_2(x) \end{aligned}$$

- Since we can specify $\bar{\Phi}_{\mathcal{K}}^{(s)}$ by the occupation number $|n\rangle$, instead of \mathcal{K} , we introduce a new symbol.

$$|n\rangle \equiv \bar{\Phi}_{\mathcal{K}}^{(s)}$$

where $n = (n_1, n_2, \dots)$ with n_x being the number of times x appears in \mathcal{K} .

Exercise

Show that $C_{\kappa} = \sqrt{\prod_{\kappa} (n_{\kappa}!)}$

$$C_{\kappa}^2 = \sum_{P, Q} \langle P \bar{\Phi}_{\kappa} | Q \bar{\Phi}_{\kappa} \rangle = N! \sum_P \langle \bar{\Phi}_{\kappa} | P \bar{\Phi}_{\kappa} \rangle$$

$$= \sum_P \int d\mathbf{x}_1 \dots d\mathbf{x}_N \phi_{\kappa_{P(1)}}^*(\mathbf{x}_1) \dots \phi_{\kappa_{P(N)}}^*(\mathbf{x}_N) \times \phi_{\kappa_1}(\mathbf{x}_1) \dots \phi_{\kappa_N}(\mathbf{x}_N)$$

- The integral is 1 if $\kappa_{P(i)} = \kappa_i$ for all i , and 0 otherwise.
- This means that for any given κ , and the set $I(\kappa) \equiv \{i \text{ s.t. } \kappa_i = \kappa\}$, $P I(\kappa) = I(\kappa)$
- For each κ , this constraint allows $|I(\kappa)|! = n_{\kappa}!$ possibilities.
Therefore we have $\prod_{\kappa} n_{\kappa}!$ such permutations.

$$= \prod_{\kappa} n_{\kappa}!$$

Creation/Annihilation Operators

- It's convenient to define the "annihilation" operator, b_n , by

$$b_n |n\rangle = \sqrt{n_n} |n - \mathbb{1}_n\rangle \quad (\text{definition})$$

- Fock space : In the above definition of b_n we have extended the state space from the one with the fixed N to the one with various N .
($H_{\text{Fock}} = H_{N=0} \oplus H_{N=1} \oplus \dots$)

- $\mathbb{1}_n$ is the vector with only one non-zero element as the "n"-th element and the other all zeros.

- By defining $|\text{vac}\rangle = |0\rangle = \mathbb{1}$ we have, for example, $b_n^+ |0\rangle = |\mathbb{1}_n\rangle = \phi_n$ (coordinate, the conventional state representation)

- From the definition, b_n^+ must have the matrix element like

$$b_n^+ |n - \mathbb{1}_n\rangle = \sqrt{n_n} |n\rangle$$

$$\text{or } b_n |n\rangle = \sqrt{n_n + 1} |n + \mathbb{1}_n\rangle$$

$$\rightarrow [b_n, b_n^+] = \delta_{nn}$$

$$b_{n_1}^+ b_{n_2}^+ \dots b_{n_N}^+ |0\rangle = \left(\prod_n \sqrt{n_n!} \right) |n\rangle \left(= \sum_p \rho \Phi_{n_n} \right)$$

- Obviously, $b_n b_n^+ |n\rangle = n_n |n\rangle$. So $\hat{n}_n = b_n^+ b_n$ is the occupation number operator.

Field Operator

o It is also convenient to define the field op.

$$\hat{\psi}(x) \equiv \sum_x \phi_x(x) b_x \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{... operator} \\ \text{in the Fock} \\ \text{space} \end{array} \right)$$

o We can show that

$$[\hat{\psi}(x), \hat{\psi}^\dagger(y)] = \delta(x-y) \quad (1)$$

o We define $|x\rangle \equiv \hat{\psi}^\dagger(x) |\Phi\rangle$, then

$$\langle x|y\rangle = \delta(x-y) \quad (2)$$

o Similarly, we define $|X\rangle \equiv \hat{\psi}^\dagger(x_1) \dots \hat{\psi}^\dagger(x_N) |\Phi\rangle$.
 remember that for each wave fu. represented in the 2nd quantization, there is always corresponding coordinate sp. representation.

Then, we have

$$\langle X|Y\rangle = \sum_{P \in S_N} \delta(Y - P X) \quad (3)$$

and

$$\langle X|X\rangle = \sum_{P \in S_N} \delta(X - P X) \quad (4)$$

(when we have no accidental coincidence ($x_i = x_j$))

o We use later

$$\hat{\psi}(x) |X\rangle = \sum_i \delta(x-x_i) |X^{[i]}\rangle \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} X^{[i]} = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \\ x_{i+1}, \dots, x_N) \end{array} \right)$$

• Proof of (1) :

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{\psi}(x), \hat{\psi}^\dagger(y)] &= \sum_{\kappa, \kappa'} \phi_\kappa(x) \phi_{\kappa'}^*(y) [b_\kappa, b_{\kappa'}^\dagger] \\ &= \sum_{\kappa, \kappa'} \phi_\kappa(x) \phi_{\kappa'}^*(y) \delta_{\kappa\kappa'} \\ &= \sum_{\kappa} \phi_\kappa(x) \phi_\kappa^*(y) = \delta(x-y) \end{aligned}$$

• Proof of (2)

$$\begin{aligned} |x\rangle(y) &= \hat{\psi}^\dagger(x) |\Phi\rangle(y) \\ &= \sum_{\kappa} \phi_\kappa^*(x) \underbrace{b_\kappa^\dagger}_{|\Phi\rangle(y)} \\ &= \sum_{\kappa} \phi_\kappa^*(x) \phi_\kappa(y) = \delta(x-y) \end{aligned}$$

• Proof of (3) (for $N=2$)

$$\begin{aligned} |x\rangle(y) &= \hat{\psi}^\dagger(x_1) \hat{\psi}^\dagger(x_2) |\Phi\rangle(y) \\ &= \sum_{\kappa, \kappa'} \phi_\kappa^*(x_1) \phi_{\kappa'}^*(x_2) b_\kappa^\dagger b_{\kappa'}^\dagger |\Phi\rangle(y) \\ &= \sum_{\kappa, \kappa'} \phi_\kappa^*(x_1) \phi_{\kappa'}^*(x_2) \sum_P (\bar{\Phi}_{\kappa\kappa'}) (y) \\ &= \sum_{\kappa, \kappa'} \phi_\kappa^*(x_1) \phi_{\kappa'}^*(x_2) (\bar{\Phi}_{(\kappa\kappa')} + \bar{\Phi}_{(\kappa'\kappa)}) (y) \\ &= \sum_{\kappa, \kappa'} \phi_\kappa^*(x_1) \phi_{\kappa'}^*(x_2) (\phi_\kappa(y_1) \phi_{\kappa'}(y_2) + (\kappa \leftrightarrow \kappa')) \\ &= \delta(x_1 - y_1) \delta(x_1 - y_2) + \delta(x_1 - y_2) \delta(x_2 - y_1) \end{aligned}$$

(Similar arguments prove $N \geq 3$.)

o Proof of ④

using ③, we get

$$\langle \hat{X} | \hat{X} \rangle = \frac{1}{N!} \int d\psi (\langle \hat{X} | \psi \rangle)^* (\langle \hat{X} | \psi \rangle)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N!} \int d\psi \sum_{PP'} \delta(\psi - P\hat{X}) \delta(\psi - P'X)$$

$$\psi = P\hat{X}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N!} \int d\psi' \sum_{PP'} \delta(P\psi' - P'\hat{X}) \delta(\psi' - X)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{PP'} \delta(PX - P'\hat{X})$$

$$= \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{PP'} \delta(\hat{X} - \underbrace{P'^{-1}P}_{\alpha} X)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{P\alpha} \delta(\hat{X} - \alpha X) = \sum_{\alpha} \delta(\hat{X} - \alpha X)$$

o Proof of ⑤

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\psi}(x) |X\rangle &= \hat{\psi}(x) \hat{\psi}^\dagger(x_1) \cdots \hat{\psi}^\dagger(x_N) |0\rangle \\
 &= \left([\hat{\psi}(x) \hat{\psi}^\dagger(x_1)] \hat{\psi}^\dagger(x_2) \cdots \hat{\psi}^\dagger(x_N) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \hat{\psi}^\dagger(x_1) \hat{\psi}(x) \hat{\psi}^\dagger(x_2) \cdots \hat{\psi}^\dagger(x_N) \right) |0\rangle \\
 &= \left([\hat{\psi} \hat{\psi}_1^\dagger] \hat{\psi}_2^\dagger \cdots \hat{\psi}_N^\dagger + \hat{\psi}_1^\dagger [\hat{\psi} \hat{\psi}_2^\dagger] \hat{\psi}_3^\dagger \cdots \hat{\psi}_N^\dagger \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \hat{\psi}_1^\dagger \hat{\psi}_2^\dagger \hat{\psi} \hat{\psi}_3^\dagger \cdots \hat{\psi}_N^\dagger \right) |0\rangle \\
 &\vdots \\
 &= \left([\hat{\psi} \hat{\psi}_1^\dagger] \hat{\psi}_2^\dagger \cdots \hat{\psi}_N^\dagger + \cdots + \hat{\psi}_1^\dagger \hat{\psi}_2^\dagger \cdots [\hat{\psi} \hat{\psi}_N^\dagger] \right) |0\rangle \\
 &= \left(\delta(x-x_1) \hat{\psi}_2^\dagger \cdots \hat{\psi}_N^\dagger + \cdots + \delta(x-x_N) \hat{\psi}_1^\dagger \cdots \hat{\psi}_{N-1}^\dagger \right) |0\rangle \\
 &= \sum_i \delta(x-x_i) |X^{[i]}\rangle
 \end{aligned}$$